Ten Steps to Better Glaucoma Care

Rick Trevino, OD, FAAO Indiana University School of Optometry

## **Ten Steps to Better Glaucoma Care**

Contact Me rctrevin@iu.edu Lecture Handout richardtrevino.net **Disclosure Statement** Nothing to disclose



# **Ten Steps to Better Glaucoma Care**

#### 1. Master the Art of Tonometry



When GAT Isn't Good Enough

- Irregular Astigmatism
- S/P LASIK
- Blepharospasm
- Morbid Obesity
- Bedside exam
- Young children



#### Hand-held Tonometers

- iCare, Perkins, Tono-Pen, others
- Special populations
  - Obese, arthritic, anxious, pediatric, post-LASIK



	CCT≤500 µm	501 ≤ CCT ≤ 540 µm	541 ≤CCT ≤ 560 µm	561 ≤ CCT ≤ 600 µm	CCT>600 µm
DCT (mmHg)	$16.7 \pm 3.5$	$17.5 \pm 3.0$	$17.47 \pm 3.0$	$18.07 \pm 3.0$	$17.32 \pm 3.0$
GAT (mmHg)	$11.2 \pm 2.7$	$13.18 \pm 3.2$	$14.10 \pm 2.9$	$16.30 \pm 3.3$	$19.49 \pm 2.3$
ADCT/GAT	5.47	4.30	3.37	1.77	-2.17
Р	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P<0.001	P < 0.001

CCT = central corneal thickness; DCT = dynamic contour tonometry, GAT = Goldmann aplanation tonometry.

#### THIN CORNEAS

GAT underestimates DCT by 4-5 mmHg

#### THICK CORNEAS GAT within 1-2 mmHg of DCT

The problem is <u>not</u> that GAT reads high on patients with thick corneas but that it reads <u>very low</u> on patients with thin corneas

PMID: 18791544

#### **Cornea compensated GAT probes**

- Concave probe tip less influenced by cornea
   biomechanics
- Measurements are closer to true IOP than conventional prisms



CATS and GAT measurement difference from Intracameral transducer IOP vs. CCT



Concave probe: underestimated true IOP by 3 mmHg at all CCT levels

Conventional probe: Underestimation was strongly influenced by CCT

PMID: 29301514

Pachymetry – OHTS: CCT <555µm is a risk factor for POAG

 Detect depressed Goldmann readings in patients with thin corneas

 Do not attribute elevated IOP readings to thick corneas



PMID: 9565063

#### Dealing with LASIK

- 1. Concave probe
  - Most accurate
- 2. Change from baseline
  - Difference between pre-op and post-op IOP
- 3. Peripheral cornea
  - Tonopen or iCare tonometry outside flap



# **Ten Steps to Better Glaucoma Care**

Master the Art of Tonometry
 Gonioscopy is Fundamental



#### Van Herick is a mixed blessing

#### - <u>GOOD NEWS</u>

- 99.9% of eyes that appear open are not occludable on gonio
  - Essentially no false negatives

#### - <u>BAD NEWS</u>

- About 80% of eyes that appear occludable are <u>not</u> with gonioscopy (the Gold Standard)
  - Lots of false positives



**Two Common Indications** 

- 1. Evaluate VH Grade 2 or less angles
- 2. Identify cause ofelevated or asymmetricIOP
  - Angle closure, PAS, NVA, angle recession



#### Goldmann 3-mirror



Coupling solutionExcellent optics

#### Zeiss 4-mirror

- Relatively hard to learn
- Unstable view
- Indentation gonioscopy



#### **Gonioscopy** Tips

- Use an elbow rest
- Examine the inferior angle first
- Keep the light out of the pupil
- Look over the hill (Lens tilt)
- Perform indentation gonio



**Gonioscopy is Fundamental** Indentation Gonioscopy

- Synechial vs appositional closure
- Pupil block vs plateau iris vs phacomorphic



#### gonioscopy.org



#### **Indentation Gonioscopy**

# **Gonioscopy is Fundamental** Does this patient need an iridotomy?

- Judging angle closure risk
  - No "gold standard" criteria
  - Grade 2 or less in ≥2 quads

#### - Corroborating evidence



- Suggestive symptoms
- PAS
- VF and ONH damage
- Elevated IOP



# **Ten Steps to Better Glaucoma Care**

- 1. Master the Art of Tonometry
- 2. Gonioscopy is Fundamental
- 3. Examine the Rim, Not the Cup



#### Examine the rim, not the cup

#### • ISNT rule

- Decreasing order of rim thickness
- Pallor
  - Rim pallor not associated with glaucoma
- Disc Size
  - Large cups are normal in large optic discs



#### Examine the rim, not the cup

# OCT detection of glaucoma

- 1. Retinal nerve fiber layer thickness
- 2. Optic nerve head topography
- 3. Ganglion cell layer thickness



ONH and RNFL OU Analysis:Optic Disc Cube 200x200 OD • OS

# Retinal nerve fiber layer

# This is where most of the action is!

Is the superior (less common) or inferior (more common) hump depressed?

Is there RE/LE symmetry?

Is there evidence of rim loss corresponding to the RNFL loss?

Does the deviation map show evidence of a NFL defect?



#### **Optic Nerve Head Morphology**

#### **Rim Area** <1.0 mm<sup>2</sup> is *ALWAYS* suspicious

ONH morphology



Always gray b/c it's not compared to normals!

<1.75 mm<sup>2</sup> = sm 1.75-2.75mm<sup>2</sup> = med >2.75 mm<sup>2</sup> = lg

**NOTE:** Asymmetric disc size may account for asymmetry in CDR and RNFL

#### Ganglion Cell Layer Thickness

Ganglion cells inferior and temporal to the fovea are preferentially damaged in glaucoma



PMID: 28012881



#### Ganglion Cell Layer Thickness

Look for temporal step defect in thickness map and sectors

Beware of eyes with binasal ganglion cell thinning!





# Glaucoma versus red disease: imaging and glaucoma diagnosis

Gabriel T. Chong and Richard K. Lee

#### **Purpose of review**

The use of ophthalmic imaging for documentation and diagnosis of ocular disease is rising dramatically. Optical coherence tomography (OCT), confocal scanning laser tomography (CSLT), scanning laser polarimetry (SLP) and photographic imaging of the optic nerve head (ONH) are currently used to document baseline characteristics of the ONH and for diagnosing algucoma and algucoma



#### Examine the rim, not the cup **Factors affecting OCT detection of glaucoma** 1. Disease severity 5. Axial length 2. Optic disc size 6. Blood vessel position 3. Signal strength / Errors 7. Age 4. Artifacts / Ocular 8. Race anomalies





ŏ

#### FLOOR EFFECT

5

MEAN DEVIATION (dB)

# **Ten Steps to Better Glaucoma Care**

Master the Art of Tonometry
 Gonioscopy is Fundamental
 Examine the Rim, Not the Cup
 Is this *Really* Glaucoma?



### Is this *really* glaucoma?

ONH	Х		X		Х		Х
VF	X		Х	X		Х	
IOP	Х	X				Х	Х
	POAG	OHT	NTG Neurologic Diurnal IOP	Artifact? Neurologic Retinal	Anomalous ONH? Unreliable VF? Pre-perimetric	Early POAG? Small ONH	Unreliable VF? Pre- perimetric

- ONH: Disc appearance and/or OCT findings suggestive of glaucoma
- VF: Defects on SAP consistent with glaucoma
- IOP: IOP >21mmHg on >1 occasion

#### **Case Report**

- 44yo WM presents for routine eye exam
- LLE: 7-8yrs ago
- PMH: migraines, smoker, no meds
- FOH: No glaucoma
- Refraction:
  -4.00-0.75x060 20/25
  -4.75 20/20
- PERRL, (-)APD

- BP: 130/84
- GAT: 20/20 3pm
- C/D: 0.6 OD, 0.5 OS

- IMP: Borderline IOP w/ asym cupping
- Plan: Schedule VF



#### Case Report Slight asymmetry of optic cupping



**RIGHT EYE** 







# What is it?

ONH	X		X		X		X
VF	X		X	X		Х	
IOP	Х	X				Х	Х
	POAG	OHT	NTG Neurologic Diurnal IOP	Artifact? Neurologic Retinal	Anomalous ONH? Unreliable VF? Pre-perimetric	Early POAG?	Unreliable VF? Pre- perimetric
### What is it?

ONH	Х		Х		Х		Х
VF	Х		Х	X		Х	
IOP	Х	X				Х	Х
	POAG	OHT	NTG Neurologic Diurnal IOP	Artifact? Neurologic Retinal	Anomalous ONH? Unreliable VF? Pre-perimetric	Early POAG?	Unreliable VF? Pre- perimetric

- ONH: Asymmetric cupping. Not frankly glaucomatous (obeys ISNT rule). No pallor
- VF: Reproducible VF defect, suggestive of inferior nasal step
  IOP: Consistently below 21 mmHg

#### **Case Report Continued**

- Lost to follow-up for 2 years
- Returns with c/o blurry vision
- Vcc
  -4.00-0.75x060 20/40
  -4.75 20/40
- Refraction

   -5.25-1.00x075 20/30
   -5.25-0.50x105 20/20

- GAT: 18/18 (3:30pm)
- PERRL, Trace APD OD
- C/D: 0.6/0.5
- IMP: Optic neuropathy OD
- Plan: Repeat VF, get CT scan



## **CT** Scan



**Pituitary adenoma** 



Chiasmal compression produces binasal ganglion cell loss

GCC thinning can be detected in patients with little or no VF loss

PMID: 30097827

#### Visual Defects in Patients With Pituitary Adenomas: The Myth of Bitemporal Hemianopsia



Bitemporal hemianopia accounts for ≈40% of VF defects caused by chiasmal compression PMID: 26496573, 23563861

## **Ten Steps to Better Glaucoma Care**

- 1. Master the Art of Tonometry
- 2. Gonioscopy is Fundamental
- 3. Examine the Rim, Not the Cup
- 4. Is this *Really* Glaucoma?
- 5. Establishing the Baseline



## Establishing the baseline

Wher

#### Why?

- Target pressure
- Detecting progression

#### What?

- Gonioscopy
- DFE, Photos & OCT
- At least <u>two</u> untreated IOP readings
  - Various times of day
- <u>Five</u> reliable VF exams

New onset glaucoma
 Glaucoma patients
 new to your practice

 Loss of IOP control
 Following period of prolonged stability

 After surgery or other significant medical events

PMID: 16157799

## Establishing the baseline

How many VFs are needed to establish a baseline?
OHTS: Chance of reverting back to normal
After 2 consecutive abnormal VF: 66%
After 3 consecutive abnormal VF: 12%
Five exams in first 2 yrs for VF baseline



## **Re-establishing baseline IOP**

#### • PHACO / IOL

- Long-term IOP lowering
- Max effect at 3 mos
- Average 2.5 mmHg decrease
- Glaucoma pts may require fewer meds
- IOP elevation following capsulotomy



PMID: 15811734

## **Ten Steps to Better Glaucoma Care**

- 1. Master the Art of Tonometry
- 2. Gonioscopy is Fundamental
- 3. Examine the Rim, Not the Cup
- 4. Is it *Really* Glaucoma?
- 5. Establishing the Baseline
- 6. Setting a Target Pressure



## Setting a target pressure

Need to Balance Two Goals Preservation of vision and Quality of life

#### Some factors to consider

- Disease severity
- Baseline IOP
- Risk factors
- Life expectancy
- Status of fellow eye



## Setting a target pressure



But... How hard do you need to fight to achieve this level of control?

And... Is it always worth it?



NO PROGRESSION! If IOP <18mmHg at all visits Journal of Current Glaucoma Practice, September-December 2009;3(3):7-11

#### The Ethics of Treating or Not Treating Glaucoma

George L Spaeth, Parul Ichhpujani

Anna V Goldberg Glaucoma Service, Wills Eye Institute, 840 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, USA

"Preventing the development of asymptomatic changes, or asymptomatic damage, is not the appropriate objective of care... There is no benefit of treatment in a patient who will not develop symptoms if not treated."



The Glaucoma Graph: Balancing Life and IOP



PMID: 22336244



Initial target pressure can be based upon where they sit on the Glaucoma Graph, then refined once rate of progression is known Safe Zone: Older person with mild disease Unsafe Zone: Younger person with advanced disease



#### **Ten Steps to Better Glaucoma Care**

- 1. Master the Art of Tonometry
- 2. Gonioscopy is Fundamental
- 3. Examine the Rim, Not the Cup
- 4. Is it *Really* Glaucoma?
- 5. Establishing the Baseline
- 6. Setting a Target Pressure
- 7. Maximize Patient Compliance





PMID: 16226511

### **Maximize patient compliance**

#### Steps to improve compliance

- Effective communication



- Educate patients about their disease (The goal of treatment is to prevent blindness, not to improve vision)
- Inquire about compliance at every visit ("How frequently do you forget?")
- Simplify dosing
- Address side effects
- Give instructions in writing
- Family support



**Maximize patient compliance** Alternatives to topical therapy for mild to moderate open-angle glaucoma -Selective laser trabeculoplasty (SLT) -Minimally Invasive **Glaucoma Surgery** (MIGS)

## **Ten Steps to Better Glaucoma Care**

- 1. Master the Art of Tonometry
- 2. Gonioscopy is Fundamental
- 3. Examine the Rim, Not the Cup
- 4. Is it *Really* Glaucoma?
- 5. Establishing the Baseline
- 6. Setting a Target Pressure
- 7. Maximize Patient Compliance
- 8. Confirming Progression



## **Confirming Progression**

- Reliably detecting progression is <u>more challenging</u> than detecting presence of disease
- The best tool for detecting progression is adequate baseline data
  - Structural change: OCT and/or disc photos
  - Functional change: Multiple visual fields (Recommend five)
  - IOP change: Untreated baseline IOP

#### **Structural Progression**

- Quantitative OCT-based analysis
- Three structural domains
   RNFL
  - ONH morphology
  - Macular ganglion cells
- Change less than testretest repeatability may be noise (~5µm)
- Events (such as PVD) may masquerade as progression

#### GPA:Optic Disc Cube 200x200

3

	Exam 1	Exam 2	Exam 3	Exam 4	
0	6/24/2008 6:33:03 AM 4000-1063 7/10	8/7/2008 8:42:44 AM 4000-1063 8/10 Registered	4/2/2009 3:44:24 PM 4000-1063 7/10 Registered	11/18/2009 2:27:57 PM 4000-1063 7/10 Registered	
350 175 0 μm	Baseline 1	Baseline 2	2	2	
2	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	
		Average RNFL	Thickness		4 RNFL Thickness Profiles
un I				1	
100 0 72	** • 73	o 74 75 Overall Thickr	76 1	-i 77 Age (Yews)	200
10.00 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	••••	o 74 75 Overall Thickr • 74 75 Superior Thick	76 1055 76 76	-1 77 Age (Years) -1 77 Age (Years)	5 RNFL Summary OS

OD (

OS

#### **Functional Progression**

- Quantitative analysis of VF
- Two functional domains
  - Event-based: Change of specific test points
  - Trend-based: Global decline in hill of vision
- Learning effect: Apparent progression may resolve with repeat examination
- Never change therapy because of a single bad visual field

a statement of the stat			22.11			O)	. Derech	0
Nombre						FO	N: 22-0	3-1926
ID:								_
Valores iniciales.	SITA-Standa	Central 24-2 Prueba de umbral						
Tonos grises Desviación del modelo				Tonos grises Desviación del mo				
06-02-2002	PHG: Caso du	doso		08-02-2002 PHG: Caso dudoso/Reducción general				
1			5.4 mm	1	1			1
100.00			0.0000	1 112.00	Sec. 1			•
Sec.	88600	* *	12 ::	00000	88800		15 .	# #
23333E	10000		15 15	20000000	10000 8		· · P	<b>8</b> 9
	100000		. 6	1088	000	15		1.1
mmmmm	11113020		1 1 1	0002545111111	0.0226400	· \$\$		· ·
22240000000			· \$\$ ::	22.3.3.5 mm		11 B	• • •	· · ·
. 58000000	11.33333	4. 4. 4. 4	· II • II	2333344	10000	+		
1111111.2.8	initiaa a			1 299999	Suma			1
ayayaya	nu <sup>3</sup> y	4.4		1 12022	599		5	10.00
EL OUIE	-		4.4	81.0/16	EN	~		0.4
FL: 0/15	PN 62	CM -2.02.40	3 % P ( 19	Equal ADAC	NOO PR 0	Ch4 6	55,40 0	000
VER OFF	~	084 030 00	D.COV	VEL DOW	ALC: NO	DOM: 10	202-00	0 ( 34
411. 90%		0.9W S.10.08	P & CA	1411 93%		DOM: 1	500.00	. seh
100% +	213			2020				
	1							
80%								
				*************	-			12
60%								÷.
VFI		1			100000	5 años		
40%		1 3						
		1 1						
20%		1 1						
		1 1			20000000			
02					VIIIIIIN			
0%		83	Edad	93	VIIIIIIA			
0% 73 Tasa de progresi	ón: -4.4 ± 1.5	83 %/año (95% co	Eda.d	93	Aminia			
0% 73 Tasa de progresi Desviación signif	ón: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P <	83 %/año (95% co 0.1%	Edad nfidencial)	93	<u>VIIIIIIA</u>			
0% 73 Tasa de progresi Desviación signif Seguimiento	ón: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P <	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% 	Eda.d ntidencial) opia impresa de	93 GPA completa p	ara análisis ce	ompleto		
0%73 Tasa de progresi Desviación signif Seguimiento Tonos	ón: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% Ver c Desviaci	Edad ntidencial) opia impresa de ón del modelo	93 GPA completa p Desviación de	ara anàlisis co los valores ini	ompleto ciales /	Indiisia d	e progr
04/73 Tasa de progresi Desviación signif Seguimiento Tonos 18-12-2006 ST	ón: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% Ver o Desviaci PHG: Fuera o	Eda.d nfidencial) opia impresa de ón del modelo de limites norma	93 GPA completa p Desviación de les	ara análisis cr los valores ini	ompleto ciales /	undilisis d	se progr
0%73 Tasa de progresi Desviación signif Seguimiento Tonos 18-12-2006 SI	ón: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% Ver c Desviaci PHG: Fuera c	Eda.d ntidencial) opia impresa de ón del modelo de limites norma	93 GPA completa p Desviación de les	ara análisis cr los valores ini	ompleto ciales /	unalisis d	te progr
0%73 Tasa de progresi Desviación signif Seguimiento Tonos 18-12-2006 SI	on: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% Ver c Desviaci PHG: Fuera c	Eda.d ntidencial) opia impresa de ón del modelo de limites norma	93 GPA completa p Desviación de les -xo -s	Accessed ara análisis co los valores ini	ompleto ciales /	Indiisis d	be progr
0% 73 Tasa de progresi Desviación signi Seguimiento Tonos 18-12-2006 SI	on: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% Ver c Desviaci PHG: Fuera c	E dia d infidencial) opia impresa de ón del modelo se limites norme	93 GPA completa p Desviación de ées -15 -7 -8	Accesses and its of the second	ciales /	Indiisis d	Se progr
0% 73 Tasa de progresi Desviación signi Seguiniento Tonos 18-12-2006 SI	on: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% Ver c Desviaci PHG: Fuera o	Eda.d nfidencial) opia impresa de ón del modelo se limites norma 35	93 GPA completa p Desviación de es -15 -7 -8 -15 -7 -8 -13 -1 -4 -2	Arra análisis co los valores ini 2 •17 •10 •7 •12 •1 •66 •12 •1 •4	ciales	Indíisis d	Se progr
0% 23 Tasa de progresi Desviación signi Seguimiento Tonos 18-12-2006 SI	on: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% Ver c Desviaci PHG: Fuera c	Edad ntdencial) opia impresa de ón del modelo te limites norma	93 GPA completa p Desviación de es -18 -1 -4 -2 -78 -2 -4 -2 -20 -0 - 1 -2	Arra analisis co los valores ini -7 +12 +3 -15 +12 +4 1 +25 +2 0 +1 -2	ciales /	Andilisis d	Se progr
0% 123	on: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% Ver c Desviaci PHG: Fuera ( 94% Fuera (	Edad ntdencial) opia impresa de ón del modelo se limites norma se il se se se se se se se se se se se se se se se se se s	93 GPA completa p Desviación de res -15 -7 -8 -18 -1 -4 -2 -75 -23 -4 -1 2 -70 0 0 3 2 2 -3 -3 -1 -1	Arra and/isis cr los valores ini -7 -12 -3 -15 -12 -4 1 -26 -2 0 -1 1	ciates /	Indiisis c	Se progr
01: 173 Tasa de progresi Desviación signi Siguiniento Tonos 18-12-2006 SI	on: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% Ver c Desviaci PH3: Fuera c	Edad nfdencial) opia impresa de ón del modelo se limites norma se il sis se sis se sis se sis se sis se sis se sis se sis se sis se sis	93 GPA completa p Desviación de (es -15 -7 -8 -75 -72 -4 -1 2 -75 -72 -4 -1 2 -75 -2 1 4 -1 2	Arra análisis cr los valores ini 2+12 +10 +7 +12 +3 +36 +12 +4 +4 1 +26 +2 0 +1 -1 0 2 2 0 2 3 +1	ciates /		Se progr
0:273 Tasa de progresi Desviación signi Seguimiento Tonos 18-12-2006 ST	on: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% 	Edad nfdencial) opia impresa de ón del modelo se limites norma se s se s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	93 GPA completa p Desviación de ris	Ara análisis co los valores ini 2+12+10 +2+12+3 +35+12+4+4 1+25 +2 0+1 1 0 2 2 0 2 3+1 2 +1	ciales /		Se progr
0: 173 Tasa de progresi Desviación signi Seguiniento Tonos 18-12-2006 SI 18-12-2006 SI USO DESCIONAL	on: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% 	Edad midencial) opia impresa de on del modelo Se limites norma Si Si Si Si Si Si Si Si Si	93 GPA completa p Desviación de les -15 -7 -1 -18 -1 -4 -2 -25 -23 -4 -1 -2 -26 -0 -3 -2 -3 -2 -1 -2 -3 -1 -1 -4 -1	Arra análisis co los valores ini -7 -12 -3 -56 -12 -4 -4 1 -26 -2 0 -1 1 0 2 2 0 2 3 -5 2 -1	ciales /		Se progr
0: 173 Tasa de progresi Desviación signi Seguiniento Tonos 18-12-2006 SI 18-12-2006 SI Dana Dana Dana Dana Dana Dana Dana Dan	on: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% 	Edad Internolation opla impresa de on del modelo se limites norma se limites no	93 GPA completa p Desviación de les -15 -7 -15 -75 -73 -4 -12 -75 -73 -4 -12 -70 0 0 3 2 -9 -2 1 2 -3 -1 1 -4 1 FL 0/18	ara análisis co los valores ini 2 -17 -10 -15 -12 -3 -15 -12 -4 1 -25 -2 0 -1 1 0 2 2 0 2 3 -1 2 -1 FN: 0	ciales /		Se progr
0% 73 Tasa de progresi Desviación signi Seguimiento Tonos 18-12-2006 SI 18-12-2006 SI USE DES DES DES DES DES DES DES DES DES D	on: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% Ver c Desviaci PHG: Fuera c 9 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Edad Internologia Impresa de in del modelo Istribes norma Istribes norma Istribus norma Istribes norma Istribus norma	93 GPA completa p Desviación de es -18 -1 -4 -2 -25 -23 -4 -1 -2 -30 -0 -3 -2 -3 -1 -1 -4 -1 -2 -3 -1 -1 -3 -2 -1 -2 -3 -1 -1 -4 -1 -3 -1 -1 -3 -2 -1 -2 -3 -1 -1 -4 -1 -3 -1 -	ara análisis cr los valores ini -7 -12 -3 -16 -12 -4 1 -36 -2 0 -1 1 0 2 2 0 2 3 -1 2 -1 FN: 0 Progre	ciales /	A A A	5e progr
02: 7333 de progress Desviación signi Seguimiento Tonos 18-12-2006 SI 02: 02: 02: 02: 02: 02: 02: 02:	on: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% Ver e Desviaci PH3: Fuera d 9H3: Fuera d 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 0 9 0 9 0 9 0	Edad Infdencial) opia impresa de ón del modelo te limites norma 5 il 35 5 il 3	93 GPA completa p Desviación de 165 -15 -7 -8 -18 -1 -4 -2 -75 -23 -4 -1 -2 -3 -2 -1 -2 -3 -2 -1 -2 -3 -1 -1 -4 -1 -3 -1 -1 -3 -1 -3 -1 -1 -3 -1 -1 -3	Arra analisis ci los valores ini -7 -12 -3 -16 -12 -4 1 -36 -2 0 -1 -1 0 2 2 0 2 3 -5 2 -1 FN 0 Progre	ciales /	Ladisis d	<ul> <li>A</li> <li>A</li></ul>
01: 1/23 Tasa de progress Desvación signi Seguimiento Tonos 18-12-2006 ST DED DED DED DED DED DED SER Foreal: APAGAC VFI: 76%	en: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% Ver e Desviaci PHG: Fuera d 9 2 5 5 5 6 6 7 8 8 0 0 M9.76 dB DSM: 8.89 dB	Edad mtdencial) opia impresa de ón del modelo te limites norma 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 5 7 5	93 GPA completa p Desviación de es -15 -7 -8 -18 -1 -4 -2 -75 -27 -4 -1 2 -3 -2 -1 2 -3 -2 -1 2 -3 -1 1 -4 -1 FL: 0/18	Annual Sector Se	ciales /	Ladisis d	<ul> <li>A</li> <li>A</li></ul>
01: 23 Tasa de progresi Desviación signi Siguiniento Tonos 18-12-2006 SI USE DESU DESU DESU DESU DESU DESU DESU	on: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% Ver c Desviaci PHG: Fuera ( 94%	Edad ntdencial) opia impresa de ón del modelo te limites norma bi bi bi bi bi bi bi bi bi bi	93 GPA completa p Desviación de 165 -15 -7 -8 -18 -1 -4 -9 -75 -73 -4 -1 2 -75 -73 -4 -1 2 -3 -2 1 2 -3 -2 1 2 -3 -1 1 -4 1 FL: 0/18	Annual International Internati	ciates /	FP:	5e progr
01: 123 Tasa de progresi Desviación signi Seguiniento Tonos 18-12-2006 ST Desviación signi Tonos 18-12-2006 ST Desviación signi Seguiniento Seguiniento Desviación signi Desviación signi Seguiniento Desviación signi Desviación signi Seguiniento Desviación signi Seguiniento Seguiniento Desviación signi Seguiniento Seguiniento Desviación signi Seguiniento Seguiniento Desviación signi Seguiniento Seguiniento Desviación signi Seguiniento Seguiniento Seguiniento Seguiniento Desviación signi Seguiniento Seguiniento Desviación signi Seguiniento Seguiniento Desviación signi Seguiniento Desviación s	on: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% Ver c Desviaci PHG: Fuera d 94G: Fu	Edad Infdencial) opia impresa de ón del modelo se limites norma se limites norm	93 GPA completa p Desviación de (es -15 -7 -8 -18 -1 -4 -2 -25 -23 -4 -1 2 -3 -1 1 -3 -1 1 -3 -1 1 -3 -1 1 -4 -1 FL: 0/18	Ara análisis cr los valores ini 2+12 +10 -) +12 +3 +36 +12 +4 +4 1 +26 +2 0 +1 1 0 2 2 0 2 3 +1 2 +1 FN: 0 Progre	sich probe	indiisis d	5e progr
02: 123 Tasa de progress Desviación signi Seguiniento Tonos 18-12-2006 ST Desviación signi Tonos 18-12-2006 ST Desviación signi Provest Proves	on: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% Ver c Desviaci PH3: Fuera d 22 8 24 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Edad Infdencial) opia impresa de on del modelo se limites norma se limites norm	93 GPA completa p Desviación de (es -15 -7 -8 -18 -1 -4 -2 -25 -23 -4 -1 2 -3 -2 1 2 -3 -1 1 -4 1 FL: 0/18	Annual analisis or los valores ini -) -12 -3 -35 -12 -4 -4 1 -25 -2 0 -1 1 0 2 2 0 2 3 -1 2 -1 FN 0 Progre	sion probe	FP:	He progr
0% 73 Tasa de progress Desviación signi Seguiniento Tonos 18-12-2006 SI 18-12-2006 SI 18-12-2006 SI 18-22-2006 SI 19-22-2006 SI 19-	on: -4.4 ± 1.5 icativa en P < grises TA-Standard	83 %/año (95% co 0.1% Ver c Desviaci PHG: Fuera c 9HG: Fuera c 9 0 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Edad Internologia Impresa de in del modelo Elimites norma Elimites norma	93 GPA completa p Desviación de es -15 -7 -8 -18 -1 -4 -2 -20 0 0 3 2 -20 0 0 3 2 -3 -1 1 -4 1 FL: 0/18	Ara analisis o los valores ini -7 -12 -3 -16 -12 -4 1 -26 -2 0 -1 1 0 -2 3 -1 2 -1 Progre	ciates /	FP: Die	He progr

#### **Ten Steps to Better Glaucoma Care**

- 1. Master the Art of Tonometry
- 2. Gonioscopy is Fundamental
- 3. Examine the Rim, Not the Cup
- 4. Is it *Really* Glaucoma?
- 5. Establishing the Baseline
- 6. Setting a Target Pressure
- 7. Maximize Patient Compliance
- 8. Confirming Progression
- 9. Glaucoma Self-Care



## **Self-Care of Glaucoma**

#### "What makes my glaucoma worse?"

- Medical non-compliance
- Chronic Valsalva
  - Weightlifting
  - Playing brass musical instruments
- Inverted body positions
  - Yoga positions
  - Inversion therapy
- Deep eye rubbing
- Corticosteroid use
  - If genetically susceptible
- Heavy caffeine consumption
  - If genetically susceptible



## **Self-Care of Glaucoma**

#### "What can I do to help control my glaucoma?"

- Full medical compliance
- Regular exercise (Lowers IOP, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant)
- Yoga (lowers IOP)
- Control comorbid disease
  - CVD, sleep apnea
- Diet and supplements
  - Ginko, Palmitoylethanolamide
- Self-tonometry (iCare)
- Self-perimetry (VR)
- Medical marijuana







# GLAUCOMA

Elvy Musikka, one of six people receiving medical cannabis (for glaucoma) from the federal government and representative for those deprived. Elvy is holding a month's supply of marijuana provided to her by the federal government.

" I have dedicated my life to the eradication of what I believe is our greatest enemy—ignorance!"



Editorial

#### American Glaucoma Society Position Statement: Marijuana and the Treatment of Glaucoma

Henry Jampel, MD, MHS

Galthough many factors, some only partially understood, contribute to the optic nerve damage in glaucoma patients, it has been definitively established that the level of intraocular pressure (IOP) is related to the presence of damage,<sup>1</sup> and that treatments that lower IOP reduce the risk of developing initial damage,<sup>2</sup> and slow the progression of preexisting damage.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, the mainstay of treatment for glaucoma patients is lowering the IOP.

... there is no scientific basis for use of these agents in the treatment of glaucoma.

PMID: 20160576

#### **Ten Steps to Better Glaucoma Care**

- 1. Master the Art of Tonometry
- 2. Gonioscopy is Fundamental
- 3. Examine the Rim, Not the Cup
- 4. Is it *Really* Glaucoma?
- 5. Establishing the Baseline
- 6. Setting a Target Pressure
- 7. Maximize Patient Compliance
- 8. Confirming Progression
- 9. Glaucoma Self-Care
- 10. The Future of Glaucoma Care



## The Future of Glaucoma Care

- Advances in laser therapy
- Sustained drug delivery devices
- New perimetry modalities
- Continuous IOP monitoring
- New MIGS procedures
- New pharmaceuticals
- Advances in imaging technology



*"It's tough to make predictions, especially about the future." Yogi Berra* 



theeyecomplex.com

#### Clinical Ophthalmology

A Open Access Full Text Article

Austeng et al. BMC Ophthalmology (2016) 16:169 DOI 10.1186/s12886-016-0348-4

BMC Ophthalmology

Transforma for ophtha injec Eye (2021) 35:3 https://doi.org/ ARTICLE	ADVANCED NURSING PRACTICE Nurse-le injection degener	ed ranibizumab intravitreal ns in wet age-related macular ation: a literature review	k			
Jiten Mo Debra M	Gregg E (2017) Nurse-led ro Standard. 31, 33, 44-52. Do	nibizumab intravitreal injections in wet age-related macular degeneration: a literature review. Nursing Ite of submission: 15 November 2015; date of acceptance: 7 January 2017. doi: 10.7748/ns.2017.el0344				
• • .	Emma Gregg Sister, Theatre, Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS	Abstract				
injectic		<b>Aim</b> The aim of this literature review was to explore the development of the role of specialist ophthalmic nurses in delivering ranibizumab intravitreal injections to patients with wet age-				
Mary-Josephin	Foundation Trust, London, England	related macular degeneration (AMD), and to evaluate their contribution to reducing capacity pressures in medical retina services, while maintaining safe and effective standards of care.				

#### ABSTRACT

Anti-VEGF (anti-vascular endothelial growth factor) agents are useful for a

increase in the number of IVT injections of anti-VEGF agents, clinical assessment and follow-up appointments. It became an increasing challenge to ensure treatment availability.

Hospital, Trondheim, Norway ty of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway e and Technology, Trondheim, Norway mark



PMID: 37367028



Review

#### **Current Innovations in Intraocular Pressure Monitoring Biosensors for Diagnosis and Treatment of Glaucoma—Novel Strategies and Future Perspectives**

Rubiya Raveendran<sup>1</sup>, Lokesh Prabakaran<sup>1</sup>, Rethinam Senthil<sup>2</sup>, Beryl Vedha Yesudhason<sup>3</sup>, Sankari Dharmalingam<sup>4</sup>, Weslen Vedakumari Sathyaraj<sup>1,\*</sup> and Raji Atchudan<sup>5,6,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, Chettinad Hospital and Research Institute, Chettinad Academy of Research and Education, Kelambakkam 603103, Tamil Nadu, India; rubijrf23@gmail.com (R.R.);

Recent advancements in microfluidics, nanotechnology and electronics have led to the development of novel implantable and wearable biosensors for the expedient monitoring of diseases such as glaucoma.


The future of glaucoma care includes continuous 24/7 monitoring of IOP

- Numerous continuous IOP monitoring technologies are currently under investigation
- Many involve implanting a device into the AC

## **Ten Steps to Better Glaucoma Care**

- 1. Master the Art of Tonometry
- 2. Gonioscopy is Fundamental
- 3. Examine the Rim, Not the Cup
- 4. Is it *Really* Glaucoma?
- 5. Establishing the Baseline
- 6. Setting a Target Pressure
- 7. Maximize Patient Compliance
- 8. Confirming Progression
- 9. Glaucoma Self-Care
- 10. The Future of Glaucoma Care

